

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/053,074 11/13/2001 AD 6760 US NA Reiko Koshida 5814 23906 05/13/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY YOON, TAE H LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128** 4417 LANCASTER PIKE 1714 WILMINGTON, DE 19805

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				•	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/053,074	KOSHIDA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Tae H Yoon	1714		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the communication apport.	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the provision of the provisi	36(a). In no event, however, ma by within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) for cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
/	•	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Applicat	ion Papers	·			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to be specification.	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe tion is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received it Inity documents have be In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage		
Attachmen		🗂 .			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paperl	w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/053,074

Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-8 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of copending Application No. 10/047,686. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the neutral anthraquinone dye of said copending Application No. 10/047,686 encompasses the instant neutral anthraquinone dye, and the dyes recited in claim 4 of copending Application No. 10/047,686 encompass the instant red dyes.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

Application/Control Number: 10/053,074

Art Unit: 1714

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reil (US 2002/0002225 A1).

Reil teaches black polymeric compositions containing a mixture of colorants in [0013] wherein a mixture of red, blue and yellow dye, and of yellow and violet dye is seen. Said violet dye is taught as an anthraquinone dye in [0014] and [0026], and red, blue, green and yellow dyes are seen in [0015] to [0018]. Said dyes inherently meet the instant dyes as evidenced by various C.I. solvent dyes taught at page 5 of the instant specification. Various polymers such as polyamide or polyester [0028] and reinforcing fillers [0051] are also taught. The black dye of Reil inherently transmits a laser beam [0009] and films of polyester and polyamide containing a small amount such as 1 wt% of said black dye inherently yield transparent articles.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714

Page 4

THY/May 10, 2004